

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/821,552	04/09/2004	Stanislav I. Svetlov	61641(49163)	1304	
21874 7590 01/31/2008 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874			EXAMINER		
			WANG, CHANG YU		
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1649		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/31/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/821,552	SVETLOV ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Chang-Yu Wang	1649		

•	Chang-Yu Wang	1649	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the for places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complication periods: 	on the same day as filing a Notice llowing replies: (1) an amendment Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired. 	is Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for	orth in the final rejection, whalling date of the final reject	ichever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEI	or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The denaye been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office limay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL	ate on which the petition under 37 CFF extension and the corresponding amous he shortened statutory period for reply ater than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The appropr originally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ending a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	on but prior to the date of filing a b	rief, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE b		,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by materiall		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR	1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR		-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. $igsqcup$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is purposed in the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	a) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) ∐ provided below or appended.	will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,5-11,15 and 18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>12-14</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	but before or on the date of filing and sufficient reasons why the aff	a Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> idavit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of fil entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is neces	to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a	ppeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been con because:	sidered but does NOT place the a	pplication in condition fo	r allowance
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>	
13.	CHRISTINE J. SAOUD PRIMARY EXAMINER	/CYW/	
	Christine) Saone	1	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Application No. 10/821,552

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: a) Because the claims have been amended (i.e. free of FGF2 and EGF) so the scope of the invention is changed and because the claims encompass new limitations, further search and/or consideration is required. Thus, the amendment does not simplify the issues of the rejections and further does not place the application in better condition for appeal or allowance.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are insufficient to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 103(a). Claims 1, 3, 5-11, 15 and 18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindquist et al. (US2004/0014662,published Jan 22, 2004, priority date Jul 2, 2002 as cited in Paper No. 20061113) in view of Steindler et al. (US 6638763, issued on Oct 23, 2003, priority Jan 7, 1997). The rejection is maintained for the reasons made of record in the office action mailed on 8/3/07 as directed to the previously presented claim limitations. Applicant's amendment filed on 1/3/08 has not been entered. Thus, the arguments directed to claim amendments not entered are currently moot.

/CYW/ 1/22/08

CHRISTINE J. SAOUD
PRIMARY EXAMINER